G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 1 of 1884-85
(Regulation II of 1884: Civil Procedure Code for Mysore)
J.F. 138-92

~~*Later references.*~~

cls 314-11(142
Latest papers
cls 2418-18(1-10)

1914-15
(Courts)

File No. 277-14

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

Part B for December 1917

SUBJECT.

Execution of warrants issued by the Civil Courts in Bangalore City & the Chief Court by Civil Courts of the C. & M. States in Bangalore

4) To the Registrar Chief Court
No J 2078 dt 13.12.1917
States that the proposal is not accepted by the Government of India

W D 68—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Mir Abdur Rahman
22.2.18 Hon

1055

G.R.S. 11] 1914-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 277 of 1914

FILE HEADING.

Execution of warrants issued by the Civil Courts in Bangalore City & the Chief Court by Civil Courts of the C & M Stns, Bangalore

From

The Registrar, Chief Court

No. 1357/86 Dated 23-9-1914 Received 28-9-1914

Diary No.	Enclos. 3	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1
T1055			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for the information of Govt copies of letters from the Sub Judge & the Dist Judge of Bangalore bringing to the notice of Govt the difficulty of obtaining the appearance of witnesses of the C & M Stns who are summoned as witnesses before the Civil Courts of the City and suggests that at the instance of the Judges of the Chief Court that steps may be taken to arrive at an agreement by which Courts in the C & M Stns might undertake to execute warrants issued by the City Civil Courts under Order XVI, Rule 10(3).

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Under Order XVI, Rule 10(3), a warrant may be issued at the discretion of the Court for the arrest of a person who has failed to attend the Court in answ

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

P.T.O.

1055

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C5 File No. 277 of 1914-15.

Serial No.

to a summons without lawful excuse and whose evidence is considered material. The Chief Court suggest that an arrangement maybe arrived at by which such warrants issued by the Civil Courts in the Bangalore City and by the Chief Court might be executed by the Civil Courts in the C+M. Sts. and in return ~~to~~ similar warrants of the C+M Sts Civil Courts executed by City Civil Courts or by all mysore Courts.

Sub° with a copy of Act XIV of 1882 & a copy of C. P. C Reg^n III of 1911.

Reg. 116
27.2.15-KK.

2) Is there any reference to showing such understanding between British India and any Native State?

3) Sub°. No reference regarding such understanding in the matter of the execution of civil processes could be traced. In the case of Baroda Reg. KK 8/3/1915- it is expressly stated that the Durbar will not be asked to enforce attendance of persons summoned by Br. Courts and Br. Courts serve Baroda summonses on similar terms.

27/3/15

ctg 11/3

Circulars No 133 flagged I

Reg. 17-3-15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25.000—2-11-14

1055

3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cs File No. 277 of 1914-15

Serial No. 4)

The question raised in this letter from Chief Court does not appear to be simple one. It involves very important issues relating to the jurisdiction of the two Governments. The contempt for disobedience of this order of this Court is a contempt punishable proceeding by this C & M. States authorities. The proposal of ~~Secretary~~ appeal involves important questions of criminal administration, and the law perhaps may require amendment both here as well as in the C & M States. Commissions for examination can be issued. I have searched for decision authorities in all the available books and there is no precedent available for such a case anywhere.

Submitted for favour of orders

Sd
24/11

First Assistant

I believe there is some Special order about Service of Criminal processes issued in the State on residents of C & M. States — containing a rule different from other Parts of Br. India.

ii) Please put up those Papers.

12/12/15

Sd

14/12/15

Sd/16/12

Order not passed
Sd
16/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14.

1055-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 277 of 1914

Serial No.

1) Formal sanction of the Govt of India, regarding the execution of Criminal process issued by Durbar Magistrates in the Civil & Military Station, & vice versa, was communicated in F. A. Residents letter dy 10th Nov 1884 para 8

Y in J.F. 158-92

2) Considering that Criminal process of the Civil Courts can be served freely in the CM station, and vice versa, and that even the Extradition Act has no application to the limits of the CM station, it seems in principle not objectionable for the CM station Courts to issue warrants issued by Civil Courts of the state for the Evidence of Summons. These warrants are of the nature of Criminal process, being issued by Courts of Court, and in the general principle accepted in the above Govt of 15th Govt 92, the Residency may be requested to issue a circular instructions to all the Courts concerned to see that such process are also executed. If approved, a draft to the Residency will be submitted, and reference therein will be made to the letter of the Judge Comm's station.

EB 15/1

First Member)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

9) As a matter of mutual convenience, such an arrangement would no doubt be desirable. If the Residency gives instructions to the Courts there to execute warrants, they may be carried out. But the legality of the action of our Courts would depend on whether a witness in the C & M. Stn is under a legal obligation to attend our Courts in response to a Summons issued by them. Can all or any of the penalties mentioned in S. 32 of the C. P.C. or S. of the Br Pr Code be enforced against persons who are resident there. It may be that the full enforcement of these

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

1088-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 277 of 1914-15

Serial No.

provisions may require legislative sanction in both places.

10) Please consult the Chief Court again as to what they would definitely suggest. We may then write to the Residency suggesting what may be done to ~~prevent~~ remove this difficulty. (Unofficial reference).

23/1/16

J N. 033-15
D 26-1-15

11) Forwarded unofficially to the Registrar, Chief Court for favour of opinion of the Judges.

By order
G Sanjivan Jr
Jr Sec to Govt
25.1.1916 General Dept.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

12 APR 1917

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

D-Dis No 897

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 8th April 1917

(12) In so far as the execution of the warrant or the affixing of a proclamation to the house of the contumacious witness is concerned, there is no difficulty in proceeding without legislation but the question raised by the First Councillor is as to penalties.

(13) The Judges do not suppose that the Governments on either side would be willing to allow the civil courts of the other to attach and sell property in their territories and outside the courts' jurisdictions, but in the case of the Civil and Military Station, there are many residents on one side or other of the line who have property on both and once the authorities of the Civil and Military Station undertake to execute warrants of arrest, or post up proclamations no more would be necessary to enable the courts of the State to proceed against property in their own jurisdiction.

(14) The provisions of sections 90-93 of the Code of Criminal Procedure are carried out when necessary through the authorities of the Civil and Military Station

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

Serial No.

Station and once they agree to arrest witnesses wanted in the Mysore courts and hand them over to them, they can do whatever the law allows them to do in regard to their persons or property within their own jurisdiction. The provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code do not provide for the imposition of penalties, but suppose a witness arrested in the Civil and Military Station and brought before the City Magistrate, refused to answer questions, he could be prosecuted here under the Penal Code.

(5) The Mysore Courts have no jurisdiction to catch him outside their boundaries, but once caught and brought in, they deal with him as with their own citizens, even if he is brought in against his will.

(6) The Civil and Military Station is part of the State of Mysore and practically a part of the City of Bangalore: the several laws enforced and the procedure of the courts there and in Mysore are almost identical and there do not seem to be any reasons why there should be any difficulty or injustice in arranging for the execution of warrants of arrest under Order XVI, rule 10 (3) as well as of the publication of proclamations under Order XVI. rule 10(2). But the Judges do not suggest reciprocal

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

reciprocal attachment of property outside the jurisdiction. The arrest warrant and the attachment of property within the jurisdiction should be enough to meet the inconvenience now felt.

17) It was suggested that the warrants of the District Judge, Subordinate Judge and two Bangalore Munsiffs should be dealt with under the arrangement. The Judges do not want to bring foreign witnesses too far from their homes, or to have the Mysore witnesses taken too far: but the reciprocity might be extended to the courts in the District of Bangalore not inferior to the court of a Munsiff.

18) The letter suggested that all Mysore Courts should execute Civil and Military Station warrants but on consideration the Judges are inclined to think it would be sufficient if the District of Bangalore be included in the notification.

N. Gopalaswamy
REGISTRAR.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

1055-14.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CLS File No. 277 of 1914.

Serial No.

Subd
14/4/17

19) Draft may be put up to Res'n

Es 14/4

20) Submitted with a draft.

Nagu 23/4/17

21) Draft may be approved.

Es 9/5

3025/9.5 List-Members) 22) Asstt. This may be returned to Govt. Secretariat before Govt. is addressed on the subject of the withdrawal

12/5

or

6585/14.5.17 Dewan)

Dwarkanath 14/5

23 May 1917

14/5

19.6.17

2 To The J. A Resident

No Pol 1243 23rd June 1917

Calls for the views of the Hon'ble the Resident in the matter of execution of warrants issued by civil courts in Bangalore City and the Ch. Court and by the civil courts of the C and M Station Bangalore

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.S. File No. 297 of 1914.

Serial No.

3 From the A/Resident
no. 8007 dt 19-10-17
States that the Govt of India who were addressed in the matter express their regret that they are unable to accept the Durbar's proposal as it is one which it is not wise to adopt, and as there is no urgent necessity for a change

24) Submitted for orders.
Asst 13/11
25) May the chief Comr be informed that his proposal cannot be accepted.
CS 14/4
26) chief Secy) Yes. after issue submit file to 1st member Delvoin for perusal.
CS 5/12/17
27) Draft submd
Asst 8/12
28) Draft
CS 8/12
29) Issue, accordingly
CS 8/12

4 To The Regr, Chief Court
no. J. 2078 dt 13/11/17
States that the proposal is not accepted by the Govt of India

30) Recd as ordered
Asst 15/12
HB—70/650—17-12-15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ag File No. 277 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
	31) Submitted for favour of perusal. Ex 15/12
2264 17.12. 3183 18/12/17	First Member / Dewan } 17/12 Ex. Ex 19/12
B 16/2	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

B A N G A L O R E.

DIARY No. J 1055 No 1387 Encs: 3
86

1914-1915
SECTION CL
FILE No. 277 of 1914
SERIAL No. 1

Dated ~~17th~~ 23rd September 1914.

From

T.VENKOBA RAO Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Ag: Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To

D.M.NARASINGA RAO Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

S i r,

I am directed to submit for the information of the Government copies of letters from the Subordinate Judge and the District Judge, Bangalore Division as per margin, bringing to the notice of the Chief Court instances in which persons residing in the Civil and Military Station, who have been summoned to appear as witnesses in the Civil Courts of the City of Bangalore have, without

without

See ~~Office~~ Page 19 of the Letter

See ~~Office~~ Page 21 of the Letter

See Page 22 of the Letter

Letter No. ~~122~~ 1218 dated 28-4-1914 from the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore to the District Judge, Bangalore Division, together with its enclosure, letter No. 279 dated 29-1-1914 from the District Judge, C & M. Station Bangalore, to the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

2. Letter No. 3608/501 dated 28-5-1914 from the District Judge, Bangalore Division, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

without good reasons, failed to appear in answer to the summonses of the Courts and stating that much inconvenience is caused to the administration of Justice if steps are not taken to enable the courts to enforce their appearance inasmuch as a very considerable number of persons whose personal attendance is required for disposal of cases in the Courts of the City are ~~A~~ residents of the C and M ~~Stati~~ Station.

Page 97 of the Code putup

The Subordinate Judge suggests that warrants issued under Order XVI - rule 10(3) - of the Civil Procedure Code should be executed by the Civil courts of the C and M Station by arrangemant made with the British Government in the same way that summonses of our courts are now served in the C. and M Station under Government of India Notification No. 1653 dated 13-4-1887. At present there is no such arrangement and the Courts of the C and M Station will not execute arrest warrants issued by our Courts against defaulting witnesses.

X

I am directed to state that the Judges of the Chief Court think it would be desirable, if it be possible to effect

effect a reciprocal arrangement such as is suggested by the Subordinate Judges. They recognize that it is not possible that a general arrangement would be agreed to by the Government of India affecting all Mysore Courts and all British Indian Courts, but the situation of the C and M Station in regards to the Courts of the Bangalore City is peculiar. The two towns are so near one another that residents in the one may easily do ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ their daily business in the other and residence may be frequently changed from one to the other without any difficulty or inconvenience.

I am to suggest that if the Government is disposed to agree with the Judges, steps should be taken to arrive at an ~~early~~ agreement by which the courts exercising Civil Jurisdiction in the C and M Station would undertake to execute warrants issued under Order XVI Rule 10(3) by the courts of the Munsiff having ~~xxxx~~ jurisdiction in the City, the Subordinate and Judge and the District Judge of Bangalore and by the Chief Court, and these courts, or indeed, all Mysore Courts might in return execute similar warrants issued from the Civil Courts of the C and M. Station.

The

The Judges do not think that the administration of such an agreement would give ~~rise~~ price to any difficulties that cannot easily be overcome.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ag: REGISTRAR.

N.G.

III
26/9
dkm

wes 29/9

1914—15

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 23rd

Despatched 26th September 1914

Received

General No. 1387
No. 86

Enclosure No. 3

Submits copies of letters from the Asst Judge and the District Judge, Bangalore, bringing to the notice of this Court instances in which persons residing in the civil & military station, who have been summoned to appear as witnesses in the Civil Courts of the City of Bangalore have failed to appear in answer to the summonses of the Courts and states that it would be desirable

GPB 2,000-9-14-12

desirable if it be possible to effect a reciprocal arrangement suggested by the Sub. Judge and suggests that steps should be taken to arrive at an agreement by which the Courts exercising Civil jurisdiction in the C & M Station would undertake to execute warrants issued under order XVI Rule 10 (3) by the Courts of the Munsiff having jurisdiction in the City, the Sub Judge and the District Judge of Bangalore & by the Chief Court or all Judge Courts in return to execute similar warrants issued from the Civil Courts of the C & M. Station.

GPB—100,000—7 8-13

1913-14.

From

N.T.Gopala Iyengar,Esq.,B.A.,L.LB.,

Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

To

R.B.Plumer Esquire, Bar-at-law,

District Judge,Bangalore Divisic

Dated 28th
Despatched 30th April 1914.
Received
General No. 1218
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward the marginally noted papers for favo of perusal and to state that when witnesses who live in the Civil and Military Station are summoned by us, they absent themselves frequently for frivolous grounds though duly served and cause much inconvenience.

I have submitted the summons Mis: 672 of 1908-09 issued to one P.S.Seshachalam Naidu. This witness was served and did not attend. He addressed a letter dated 12-1-1914 t the District Judge Civil and Milit

Military Station demanding unreasonable batta. In connection with his case I made a reference to the District Judge Civil and Military Station to ascertain if there was any rule allowing warrants of arrest to witnesses being issued through his Court. I submit his reply herewith.

Another witness Mr. Stephens who acted as Commissioner in several Land acquisition suits of this Court refused to attend the Court often.

Witnesses who reside within a furlong or two from the city limits may now with impurity decline to attend the Bangalore Courts on the ground of want of jurisdiction. I see no objection to a witness being ordered to be arrested through the District Judge Civil and Military Station. It is not similar to a case in which the arrest of a judgment debtor outside our jurisdiction is sought.

There is no rule in force enabling our arrest warrants to witnesses being served through the District Judge Civil and Military Station and vice versa. If you should agree with me that such a rule is desirable I request a reference may kindly be made to the Chief Court, to move the Government, if necessary, and the honourable the Resident, to sanction a reciprocal exchange of warrants to witnesses residing in the Civil and Military Station or within the city limits when they disobey the summonses of the City Courts or those of the District Court Civil and Military Station.

I have etc,

Sd/-N.T.Gopala Iyengar,

Subordinate Judge.

No. 279

Civil and Military Station,
Bangalore, 29th January 1914.

From N. S. Tirumalai Aiyangar, Esquire, B.A.,

District Judge,

Civil and Military Station,

Bangalore.

To The Subordinate Judge,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 780, dated the 21st instant, I have the honour to state that no precedent is traceable for the arrest of a person who failed to attend in obedience to a summons from a Durbar Court served through this Court. It is a moot point whether I can give authority for the execution of a warrant issued by you on disobedience of a summons previously issued by you and served through this Court. Even if a person can be arrested here on such a warrant, I am afraid that this Court is not competent to surrender him to your Court.

I have Etc.,

Sd/-N.S.Tirumalai Aiyengar

District Judge.

Copy

District Judge
Bangalore

Letter No. 3609/501 dated 28th May 1914, from the District Judge of Bangalore, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

In forwarding herewith copy of Letter No. 1218 dated 28th April 1914 from the Sub Judge, Bangalore, re the absence of witnesses living in the Civil and Military Station Bangalore, in spite of the services of summonses on them, I have the honour to state that apparently the Civil Courts of this province have no power to enforce civil processes (E.G. summonses or warrants for attendance of witnesses) outside the territories of the State.

In the case of the Civil Courts of Bangalore City this leads to much inconvenience as a very considerable number of witnesses necessary for the disposal of the cases in those courts reside in the adjoining British Terrotory of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

If, as in the cases brought to notice in the Sub Judge's letter, now under reference, such witnesses refuse to attend the Mysore Civil Courts, the disposal of the cases in which their evidence is necessary is either greatly delayed or altogether rendered impossible. It would therefore seem very desirable that some legal provisions be made to remedy this position.

Sd/- R.B.Plumer,
District Judge.

~~Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore, dated Sept: 1914.~~

"True Copy"

Asst. Registrar.

4

G. R. S. 26]

Courts File No. 277-14

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 11055-14

No. Pol. 1273/Ex. 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The First Asst to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Date of issue 23-6-914

Signed by L. Krishnaswamy Rao

Sir

In forwarding herewith for the information of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, copies of letters from the Subordinate Judge and the District Judge Bangalore Division, as per margin, bringing to notice the difficulty of securing the appearance of residents of the C & M Station, Bangalore when summoned as witnesses before the civil courts of the city of Bangalore, I am directed to state as follows. :— over.

P.T.O.

Letter No. 1218 dt 28.4.14 from the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, to the District Judge, Bangalore Dt., together with its enclosure.

Letter No. 279 dt 29.1.1914 from the District Judge, C & M Station, Bangalore, to the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

SUBJECT.

19/7/14

(2) A large number of witnesses summoned to give evidence before the civil Courts in the city of Bangalore, reside in the C & M Nation and have failed without good reasons failed to appear in response to the Summonses of those Courts; and much great inconvenience has thereby resulted in the administration of civil justice.

3) The authority that at present governs the execution of Durbar Summonses in the C & M Nation is Govt of India Notification no. 1653 dated 13th April 1887. No arrangement now exists for the execution by the Courts of the C & M Nation of the arrest warrants of the Durbar courts against defaulting witnesses therein residing under Order XVI, Rule 10(3) of the Code of civil Procedure.

4) It is therefore in the opinion

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20.00—10-10-14

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

of the Durbar. Very desirable to establish reciprocity in this behalf between Mysore and the C&M Nation, it being extended to all Courts in the district of Bangalore not inferior to the Court of a Munsiff.

5) As the C&M Station is part of the State of Mysore and practically a part of the city of Bangalore, and as the several Laws enforced and the procedure of the Courts there and in Mysore are almost identical, no serious difficulty will, it is understood be found in arranging for the execution of arrest warrants under Order XVI, Rule 10(3) as well as for the publication of proclamations under Order XVI Rule 10(2). In making this proposal the Durbar are not disposed to suggest reciprocal attachment of property outside the jurisdiction, the arrest warrant and the attachment of property being considered sufficient evidence within jurisdiction

being considered sufficient to obviate the inconvenience now felt.

I am therefore to request you to be so good as to communicate to the Durbar the views of the Hon'ble the Resident in this matter.

I have &c.

Sd/- [illegible]

Sec. to Govt.

Gen. Depts.

NRL
24-4-17

NRL
12/5

Enclosures
Two
NRL
23-6-17

GPB—20,000—16-2-14

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
7 OCT 1917

No. 8004.

DIARY No. J 946
SEC. 3

Pol. 592
20-

FROM

MAJOR E. H. S. JAMES,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
20 OCT 1917

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Dated Bangalore, 19th October 1917.

SIR,

I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No. 1973 Pol., dated the 23rd June 1917, regarding the proposal of the Durbar for reciprocity in the matter of execution of warrants of arrest against witnesses disobeying summonses of civil courts in the Mysore State and in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

2. The Government of India were addressed in the matter and they say that they cannot regard the proposal as one which it would be wise to adopt. They point out that there is no analogy between the service of summons and the execution of decrees on the one hand and the execution of warrants of arrest on the other. It is noticeable that section 136 of the British Code of Civil Procedure, V of 1908, provides for the execution of a warrant of arrest outside the jurisdiction of the Court, but the execution must be within British India, and there is no attempt to provide for reciprocity in such a matter even between British Indian Courts and Courts in Native States established or continued under the authority of the Governor General in Council. For the reasons above indicated, and in the absence of any urgent necessity for a change, the Government of

India express their regret that they are unable to accept the Durbar's proposal.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

No. 808.

A copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded to the District Judge, Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, for information, with reference to his letter No.1743, dated the 11th July 1917.

Sd/- E. H. S. James.

First Assistant Resident.

G. R. S. VI—G/32] 8/12

SUBJECT Non-acceptance by the Govt of India of the Chief Court's proposal re reciprocity in the matter of the execution of warrants of arrest against witnesses issued by the Mysore Court and those of the C.M. Station

Diary No. of letter replied to J. 946

Section Courts
File No. 277-14
Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Courts)
, the 191

No. J 2078

Date of issue 13/12/17

To

Signed by Wm G. Srinivasa Iyer

The Registrar, Chief Court

Sir

With reference to your letter no 1387/86 dy 23-9-1914 re reciprocity in the matter of the execution of warrants of arrest against witnesses disobeying Summonses of Civil courts in the Mysore State and in the C.M. Station, Bangalore, I am directed to state that the proposal is not accepted by the Govt of India.

Thanks

8/12 for Chief Secretary

over.

8/12